



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Mergers & Acquisitions 2019

13th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into mergers and acquisitions

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma

Advokatfirman Törngren Magnell

Alexander & Partner Rechtsanwälte mbB

Ashurst Hong Kong

Atanaskovic Hartnell

Bär & Karrer Ltd.

BBA

Bech-Bruun

D. MOUKOURI AND PARTNERS

Debarliev Dameski & Kelesoska

Attorneys at Law

Dittmar & Indrenius

E&G Economides LLC

ENSafrica

Ferraiuoli LLC

Gjika & Associates

GSK Stockmann

HAVEL & PARTNERS s.r.o.

Houthoff

Kelobang Godisang Attorneys

Kiliç Law & Consulting

Law firm Vukić and Partners

Loyens & Loeff

Maples Group

Matheson

MJM Limited

Moravčević Vojnović and Partners

in cooperation with Schoenherr

Motta Fernandes Advogados

Nader, Hayaux & Goebel

Nishimura & Asahi

Nobles

NUNZIANTE MAGRONE

Oppenheim Law Firm

Popovici Nițu Stoica & Asociații

Ramón y Cajal Abogados

Schoenherr

SEUM Law

Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas & Co.

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
and Affiliates

Škubla & Partneri s. r. o.

SZA Schilling, Zutt & Anschutz
Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH

Vieira de Almeida

Villey Girard Grolleaud

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz

Walangi & Partners
(in association with Nishimura & Asahi)

WBW Weremczuk Bobeł & Partners
Attorneys at Law

WH Partners

White & Case LLP

Zhong Lun Law Firm



Contributing Editors
Scott Hopkins and Lorenzo Corte, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP

Sales Director
Florjan Osmani

Account Director
Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager
Toni Hayward

Sub Editor
Jenna Feasey

Senior Editors
Caroline Collingwood
Rachel Williams

CEO
Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor
Alan Falach

Publisher
Rory Smith

Published by
Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design
F&F Studio Design


GLG Cover Image Source
iStockphoto

Printed by
Ashford Colour Press Ltd
March 2019

Copyright © 2019
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-912509-60-7
ISSN 1752-3362

Strategic Partners



General Chapters:

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| 1 | Global M&A Trends in 2019 – Scott Hopkins & Adam Howard, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP | 1 |
| 2 | The MAC is Back: Material Adverse Change Provisions After Akorn – Adam O. Emmerich & Trevor S. Norwitz, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz | 4 |
| 3 | The Dutch ‘Stichting’ – A Useful Tool in International Takeover Defences – Alexander J. Kaarls & Willem J.T. Liedenbaum, Houthoff | 10 |

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

| | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|---|-----|
| 4 | Albania | Gjika & Associates: Gjergji Gjika & Evis Jani | 14 |
| 5 | Angola | Vieira de Almeida: Vanusa Gomes & Paulo Trindade Costa | 21 |
| 6 | Australia | Atanaskovic Hartnell: Jon Skene & Lawson Jepps | 27 |
| 7 | Austria | Schoenherr: Christian Herbst & Sascha Hödl | 34 |
| 8 | Belgium | Loyens & Loeff: Wim Vande Velde & Mathias Hendrickx | 45 |
| 9 | Bermuda | MJM Limited: Jeremy Leese & Brian Holdipp | 55 |
| 10 | Botswana | Kelobang Godisang Attorneys: Seilaneng Godisang & Laone Queen Moreki | 62 |
| 11 | Brazil | Motta Fernandes Advogados: Cecilia Vidigal Monteiro de Barros | 67 |
| 12 | British Virgin Islands | Maples Group: Richard May & Matthew Gilbert | 75 |
| 13 | Bulgaria | Schoenherr: Ilko Stoyanov & Katerina Kaloyanova | 82 |
| 14 | Cameroon | D. MOUKOURI AND PARTNERS: Danielle Moukouri Djengue & Franklin Ngabe | 91 |
| 15 | Cayman Islands | Maples Group: Nick Evans & Suzanne Correy | 96 |
| 16 | China | Zhong Lun Law Firm: Lefan Gong | 103 |
| 17 | Croatia | Law firm Vukić and Partners: Zoran Vukić & Ana Bukša | 110 |
| 18 | Cyprus | E&G Economides LLC: Marinella Kilikitas & George Economides | 117 |
| 19 | Czech Republic | HAVEL & PARTNERS s.r.o.: Jaroslav Havel & Jan Koval | 124 |
| 20 | Denmark | Bech-Bruun: Steen Jensen & David Moalem | 131 |
| 21 | Finland | Dittmar & Indrenius: Anders Carlberg & Jan Ollila | 138 |
| 22 | France | Villey Girard Grolleaud: Frédéric Grillier & Daniel Villey | 146 |
| 23 | Germany | SZA Schilling, Zutt & Anschutz Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH: Dr. Marc Löbbe & Dr. Michaela Balke | 153 |
| 24 | Hong Kong | Ashurst Hong Kong: Joshua Cole & Chin Yeoh | 161 |
| 25 | Hungary | Oppenheim Law Firm: József Bulcsú Fenyvesi & Mihály Barcza | 168 |
| 26 | Iceland | BBA: Baldvin Björn Haraldsson & Stefán Reykjalin | 174 |
| 27 | India | Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas & Co.: Iqbal Khan & Faraz Khan | 181 |
| 28 | Indonesia | Walalangi & Partners (in association with Nishimura & Asahi): Luky I. Walalangi & Siti Kemala Nuraida | 188 |
| 29 | Ireland | Matheson: Fergus A. Bolster & Brian McCloskey | 193 |
| 30 | Italy | NUNZIANTE MAGRONE: Fiorella Alvino & Fabio Liguori | 202 |
| 31 | Japan | Nishimura & Asahi: Tomohiro Takagi & Kei Takeda | 208 |
| 32 | Korea | SEUM Law: Steve Kim & Hyemi Kang | 217 |
| 33 | Luxembourg | GSK Stockmann: Marcus Peter & Kate Yu Rao | 225 |
| 34 | Macedonia | Debarliev Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law: Emilija Kelesoska Sholjakovska & Ljupco Cvetkovski | 231 |
| 35 | Malta | WH Partners: James Scicluna & Rachel Vella Baldacchino | 238 |
| 36 | Mexico | Nader, Hayaux & Goebel: Yves Hayaux-du-Tilly Laborde & Eduardo Villanueva Ortiz | 245 |
| 37 | Montenegro | Moravčević Vojnović and Partners in cooperation with Schoenherr: Slaven Moravčević & Miloš Laković | 252 |

Continued Overleaf →

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.



Country Question and Answer Chapters:

| | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---|-----|
| 38 | Mozambique | Vieira de Almeida: Guilherme Daniel & Paulo Trindade Costa | 259 |
| 39 | Netherlands | Houthoff: Alexander J. Kaarls & Willem J.T. Liedenaum | 266 |
| 40 | Norway | Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma: Ole Kristian Aabø-Evensen & Gard A. Skogstrøm | 275 |
| 41 | Poland | WBW Weremczuk Bobel & Partners Attorneys at Law: Łukasz Bobel | 289 |
| 42 | Portugal | Vieira de Almeida: Jorge Bleck & António Vieira de Almeida | 296 |
| 43 | Puerto Rico | Ferraiuoli LLC: Fernando J. Rovira-Rullán & María del Rosario Fernández-Ginorio | 302 |
| 44 | Romania | Popovici Nițu Stoica & Asociații: Teodora Cazan | 309 |
| 45 | Saudi Arabia | Alexander & Partner Rechtsanwalte mbB: Dr. Nicolas Bremer | 315 |
| 46 | Serbia | Moravčević Vojnović and Partners in cooperation with Schoenherr: Matija Vojnović & Vojimir Kurtić | 322 |
| 47 | Slovakia | Škubla & Partneri s. r. o.: Martin Fábry & Marián Šulík | 331 |
| 48 | Slovenia | Schoenherr: Vid Kobe & Bojan Brežan | 337 |
| 49 | South Africa | ENSafrica: Professor Michael Katz & Matthew Morrison | 348 |
| 50 | Spain | Ramón y Cajal Abogados: Andrés Mas Abad & Lucía García Clavería | 357 |
| 51 | Sweden | Advokatfirman Törngren Magnell: Johan Wigh & Sebastian Hellesnes | 364 |
| 52 | Switzerland | Bär & Karrer Ltd.: Dr. Mariel Hoch | 370 |
| 53 | Turkey | Kılınç Law & Consulting: Levent Lezgin Kılınç & Seray Özsoy | 378 |
| 54 | Ukraine | Nobles: Volodymyr Yakubovskyy & Tatiana Iurkovska | 384 |
| 55 | United Arab Emirates | Alexander & Partner Rechtsanwalte mbB: Dr. Nicolas Bremer | 392 |
| 56 | United Kingdom | White & Case LLP: Philip Broke & Patrick Sarch | 400 |
| 57 | USA | Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP: Ann Beth Stebbins & Thomas H. Kennedy | 408 |

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the thirteenth edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Mergers & Acquisitions*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of mergers and acquisitions.

It is divided into two main sections:

Three general chapters. These chapters are designed to provide readers with an overview of key issues affecting mergers and acquisitions, particularly from the perspective of a multi-jurisdictional transaction.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in mergers and acquisitions in 54 jurisdictions.

All chapters are written by leading mergers and acquisitions lawyers and industry specialists, and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editors Scott Hopkins and Lorenzo Corte of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP for their invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The International Comparative Legal Guide series is also available online at www.iclg.com.

Alan Falach LL.M.
Group Consulting Editor
Global Legal Group
Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk

Luxembourg

Marcus Peter



Kate Yu Rao



GSK Stockmann

1 Relevant Authorities and Legislation

1.1 What regulates M&A?

The key legislation is the law of 10 August 1915 on commercial companies, as amended (the “**Corporate Law**”). In addition, numerous treaties on the avoidance of double taxation allow Luxembourg to support the worldwide M&A activities of Luxembourg private equity funds and M&A parties.

The law of 19 May 2006 implementing Directive 2004/25/EU on takeover bids, as amended (the “**Takeover Law**”), covers squeeze-out and sell-out rights, which assists M&A of Luxembourg-based target entities. A natural or legal person acquiring, alone or with persons acting in concert with it, control over a company by holding 33⅓% of the voting rights is required to make a mandatory takeover bid to all the shareholders in a Luxembourg company. As far as the competent authority is concerned, the Takeover Law states that if the target company’s securities are not admitted to trading on a regulated market in the Member State in which the company has its registered office, the competent authority to supervise the bid shall be the authority of the Member State responsible for the regulated market on which the company’s securities are admitted to trading. Matters relating to the consideration offered in the case of a bid, in particular the price, and matters relating to the bid procedure, in particular the information on the bidder’s decision to make a bid, the content of the offer documents and the disclosure of the bid shall be governed by the law of the Member State responsible for the regulated market on which the company’s securities are admitted to trading. If a mandatory or voluntary offer is made to all of the holders of securities carrying voting rights in a company, which has listed its securities at a regulated market and if, after such offer, the offeror holds 95% of the securities carrying voting rights of the respective company and 95% of the voting rights, the offeror is entitled to squeeze out the minority shareholders, if any, according to the Takeover Law. Under the Takeover Law, when a mandatory or voluntary offer is made to all of the holders of securities carrying voting rights in a company and if, after such offer, the offeror holds more than 90% of the securities carrying voting rights and more than 90% of the voting rights, the minority shareholders may require the offeror to purchase the remaining securities of the same class.

Finally, the law of 21 July 2012 on mandatory squeeze-out and sell-out of securities of companies currently admitted or previously admitted to trading on a regulated market or having been offered to the public (the “**Luxembourg Squeeze-Out and Sell-Out Law**”) applies if all or part of a company’s securities: (i) are currently admitted to trading on a regulated market in one or more EU

Member States; (ii) are no longer traded, but were admitted to trading on a regulated market and the delisting became effective earlier than five years ago; or (iii) were the subject of a public offer which triggered the obligation to publish a prospectus in accordance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 November 2003 on the prospectus to be published when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading (the “**Prospectus Directive**”) or, if there is no obligation to publish according to the Prospectus Directive, where the offer started during the previous five years. The Luxembourg Squeeze-Out and Sell-Out Law does not apply during and for a certain grace period after a public takeover, which is or has been carried out pursuant to the Takeover Directive.

1.2 Are there different rules for different types of company?

The Corporate Law provides for all kinds of corporate structures and corporate instruments to create tailor-made corporate structures useable for M&A transactions. The Corporate Law in the past years introduced new forms of corporate structures, which further enhanced corporate structuring via Luxembourg as a platform. The special limited partnership and also the simplified private limited liability company are examples in this regard.

The Takeover Law provides for any voluntary bid for the takeover of a Luxembourg company and any mandatory bid.

The Luxembourg Squeeze-Out and Sell-Out Law provides for the squeeze-out and sell-out of minority shareholders of a company that has its registered seat in Luxembourg by a majority shareholder.

1.3 Are there special rules for foreign buyers?

No general rules for specific foreign buyers apply for Luxembourg companies.

1.4 Are there any special sector-related rules?

Some rules for specific companies exist. For example, in case of a target whose registered office is in Luxembourg and securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market in Luxembourg, the offer will be supervised by the *Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier* (“**CSSF**”). Furthermore, if a target entity carries a licence from the CSSF, the change in shareholding must be approved by the CSSF. Finally, it might be possible for the Luxembourg government to intervene in a contemplated acquisition, which aims at certain

Luxembourg entities that are doing business in highly sensitive governmental areas. However, the Luxembourg government has always been open-minded toward international business activities and international investors. For example, the investment by Qatar into Cargolux, a worldwide leading cargo airline operating from Luxembourg, and into Banque Internationale à Luxembourg were not questioned, despite such entities being predominantly owned by the Luxembourg state. Banque Internationale à Luxembourg was recently acquired by the Chinese investor, Legend Holdings Group, without any issues raised by the government. Finally, even more recent was the acquisition of a 25% stake in Encevo by China Southern Power Grid, which also occurred without interference from the Luxembourg government.

1.5 What are the principal sources of liability?

The principal basis of liability is mentioned in the Luxembourg Civil Code and the Corporate Law. Depending on the action carried out, the managers or directors of an acting Luxembourg entity can be held liable for management faults or violations of applicable law and provisions of a company's by-laws. Shareholder liability is typically excluded unless a shareholder acts the way that leads a *bona fide* third party to think such shareholder is the manager of the Luxembourg entity in which case manager liability may also be triggered in the hands of such shareholder.

2 Mechanics of Acquisition

2.1 What alternative means of acquisition are there?

Growth by way of strategic partnerships/alliances is an alternative means. If a company already has a mature service, it can grow its business by selling a franchise or licence to another company. The parties can also pool their resources by setting up a joint venture entity, which often occurs in Luxembourg, being a business arrangement of international investors coming together from different regions in the world. By setting up such separate new joint venture entity, the parties may protect their main businesses in the case of failure of the joint venture investment.

2.2 What advisers do the parties need?

Financial and legal advisers are the most important consultants to an M&A deal.

2.3 How long does it take?

The duration of an M&A transaction can vary tremendously. Provided the M&A transaction volume is small and the target group does not operate internationally, the acquisition may occur in a few weeks provided due diligence of the target group does not reveal major roadblocks. However, if the target group consists of many entities located in different parts of the world, the anti-trust procedure alone could take several months. In addition, the due diligence and negotiation of the share purchase agreement will be much more complex, hence bigger M&A deals might take more than one year.

2.4 What are the main hurdles?

Filing with and notification of merger control/anti-trust authorities, if required, creates the biggest obstacle. Another hurdle can sometimes be found in the due diligence process as it is not always ensured that all necessary documents will be provided swiftly and in a complete manner.

2.5 How much flexibility is there over deal terms and price?

Deal terms and price depend on the due diligence and other factors. Whether flexibility is possible relates to such points but also to the market and target developments during the ongoing M&A transaction. In case of substantial changes, parties show flexibility to adapt as otherwise often the deal will come to a stop. However, once the financial key parameters of the target were identified and assessed, not much flexibility with a view to pricing and terms remains.

2.6 What differences are there between offering cash and other consideration?

The main difference is that a cash payment bears normally no risk of value loss. Instead, a payment in kind (stock/receivables/notes/other) might lose value immediately after the M&A deal was closed due to market and other developments. Hence, we predominantly see cash purchase prices to be paid by the purchaser.

2.7 Do the same terms have to be offered to all shareholders?

The Corporate Law also recognises the principle of equal treatment of shareholders. Hence, in a scenario of a merger or acquisition process, all shareholders have to be treated equally unless different treatment has been agreed on in a shareholders' agreement or the articles of association of the target entity. This often might be the case especially in private equity-related M&A transactions.

2.8 Are there obligations to purchase other classes of target securities?

In case the purchaser wants to own and fully control the target post-acquisition, it typically will acquire all securities that are necessary to exercise such control. We see often that, e.g. exiting bonds or shareholder loan instruments are also acquired by the purchaser next to the shares in issue.

2.9 Are there any limits on agreeing terms with employees?

The employee participation rights apply to: (i) a Luxembourg public limited liability company which has at least 1,000 employees for the previous three years; and (ii) any company incorporated under the form of a Luxembourg public limited liability company of which the Luxembourg government holds a financial participation of 25% or more or that benefits from a "concession" from the Luxembourg government in relation to the exercise of its activity and is named by Grand-Ducal regulation. In the context of such stipulations, it might be necessary to involve employee representatives in an M&A transaction (e.g. by notification, consultation, or otherwise).

2.10 What role do employees, pension trustees and other stakeholders play?

See question 2.9 above.

2.11 What documentation is needed?

Documentation volume depends on the nature of the acquisition (public or private). Documents can include, *inter alia*, announcement documents, offering documents, contractual agreements, due diligence reports, share purchase agreements, tax-related documents, anti-trust filing documents and others.

2.12 Are there any special disclosure requirements?

Disclosure requirements also depend on the nature of the transaction and in particular the character of the target entity/group. If the shares or other securities of the target entity are listed on a regulated market, different disclosing requirements will apply. Also, if the target entity in Luxembourg holds a licence from the CSSF, such authority needs to be informed. Hence, each transaction bears its own disclosing requirements, which should be duly assessed with advisors to the purchase in advance of starting the M&A transaction.

2.13 What are the key costs?

The key costs are the purchase price, as well as fees for advisors and authorities.

2.14 What consents are needed?

Consent from the shareholders of the target is needed.

2.15 What levels of approval or acceptance are needed?

Consent from merger control authorities, if required, is needed.

2.16 When does cash consideration need to be committed and available?

Typically, the cash consideration is put into escrow shortly before the closing date. Once on the closing date the fulfilment of all conditions precedent under the share purchase agreement are confirmed by both parties, the cash consideration is released from the escrow account to the selling shareholder(s).

3 Friendly or Hostile

3.1 Is there a choice?

Like most European countries, Luxembourg adopted the compulsory tender offer rules. It provides that whoever acquires over 33.3% of the outstanding voting shares of a public company must launch a public offer on all the remaining shares at a price at least as high as the highest price paid for the same shares in the last certain period.

3.2 Are there rules about an approach to the target?

To our knowledge, there are none.

3.3 How relevant is the target board?

Generally speaking, the board of managers of the target company shall act neutrally and in the best corporate interest of the target company.

3.4 Does the choice affect process?

Certain choices should be taken before starting the M&A transaction. Initially, it should be considered whether to fully or partly acquire the target. Furthermore, it should be verified whether to approach a certain shareholder or all shareholders of the target company or rather the board of directors of such target entity. If it is a public takeover, normally the purchaser first contacts the board of directors of the public target entity before making its offer to assess whether or not a friendly takeover is possible.

4 Information

4.1 What information is available to a buyer?

The buyer can gather information about the target company by carrying out due diligence. As for the legal due diligence, normally the buyer's lawyer sends a detailed information request to the seller to request the constitution of the target as well as the information on its property and employees, its existing contracts and licences, etc.

4.2 Is negotiation confidential and is access restricted?

Typically, yes.

4.3 When is an announcement required and what will become public?

An announcement is required for a public takeover bid in Luxembourg, as described above, when a certain threshold of shareholding is achieved by the purchaser. Furthermore, certain rules also require ongoing or even earlier notifications to supervising authorities.

4.4 What if the information is wrong or changes?

The seller is normally required to give a list of warranties, which are assurances about the target company covering all aspects of its information. If the information the seller gives to the buyer as a warranty is wrong or changes, the seller may be liable to pay damages to the buyer under a claim of a breach of warranty.

5 Stakebuilding

5.1 Can shares be bought outside the offer process?

Yes, subject to certain requirements that such purchases are not trying to circumvent provisions that require transparency of the process.

5.2 Can derivatives be bought outside the offer process?

See the answer to question 5.1.

5.3 What are the disclosure triggers for shares and derivatives stakebuilding before the offer and during the offer period?

See the answer to question 5.1.

5.4 What are the limitations and consequences?

See the answer to question 5.1.

6 Deal Protection

6.1 Are break fees available?

Current market practice sees break fees regularly negotiated at the beginning of a transaction. Luxembourg service providers regularly accept that a breakup fee can include a certain discount given the economic downside of an unsuccessful bid.

6.2 Can the target agree not to shop the company or its assets?

Yes, the target can agree not to shop the company or its assets.

6.3 Can the target agree to issue shares or sell assets?

Yes, the target can agree to issue shares or sell assets.

6.4 What commitments are available to tie up a deal?

Different types and levels of commitment can be implemented. Most of them refer to economic commitments, which if not reached will influence the deal process. Other commitments might refer to the success or failure of anti-trust filings. Commitments should be prudently identified and agreed to ensure that the deal will not create unexpected disadvantages for the parties involved.

7 Bidder Protection

7.1 What deal conditions are permitted and is their invocation restricted?

Deal conditions are subject to the specific transaction at stake. All conditions, however, have to comply with applicable law, which should be identified duly in advance of starting the deal.

7.2 What control does the bidder have over the target during the process?

The bidder normally does not have control over the target company during the process, unless a certain level of shareholding is reached.

7.3 When does control pass to the bidder?

When ownership of the target being acquired is transferred to the bidder, the bidder has all/certain control of the target depending on the agreed terms and level of shareholding achieved.

7.4 How can the bidder get 100% control?

In case not all the shareholders of the target company are willing to sell for the offered price, the bidder must try to achieve the threshold of 95% to start a squeeze-out process. This, however, is only possible in public companies. No squeeze-out process exists in Luxembourg for private companies.

8 Target Defences

8.1 Does the board of the target have to publicise discussions?

The board of the target has to act in the best interest of the target entity. In case it deems a certain friendly takeover offer being in the best interest of the target entity, e.g. because it will be forthcoming to better propel the business activities of the entity, it then must inform the shareholders. Other scenarios for making an offer public exist. Internal discussions of the board of the target are generally confidential and need not be published.

8.2 What can the target do to resist change of control?

In case the board of the target does not deem the offer to be in the best interest of the Luxembourg target entity, it may resist such offer. However, the bidder may still make its offer public, which then becomes a hostile takeover. In such case, not many elements for resistance exist apart from finding another interested party that wants to acquire the target entity. Other resistance might be created by the board of the target in taking a stance against the offer due to respective economic or other arguments. And, of course, the shareholders of the target entity may resist in not rendering their shares for sale to the bidder given different reasons.

8.3 Is it a fair fight?

Fairness is certainly desired; however, sometimes market players might have a different negotiating power, which, however, does not necessarily lead to unfairness.

9 Other Useful Facts

9.1 What are the major influences on the success of an acquisition?

Major influences are a well-experienced and prepared advisory team, a prudent and thorough management team at the acquisition party as well as financial resources to hang on to the acquisition process even if it takes longer than expected.

9.2 What happens if it fails?

The failure of an acquisition seems to have mostly negative consequences. The reputation of the purchaser, target entity and/or seller(s) might take a hit in the market. Furthermore, certain business strategies depending on the successful acquisition may have to be abandoned. This can create severe turbulence for the purchaser who failed to acquire. Finally, costs incurred, depending on the stage of the deal, might be substantial. These have to be borne by the respective parties despite the broken deal (taking into consideration any discount granted by an acting advisor).

10 Updates

10.1 Please provide a summary of any relevant new law or practices in M&A in your jurisdiction.

The Corporate Law was recently amended to enhance corporate structuring. It now provides for better structuring of share classes and characteristics (voting or non-voting shares/shortening of the period for exercising preferential subscription). New corporate forms were also created as well as more flexible provisions pertaining to the issue of bonds and the holding of general meetings of shareholders. In addition, new investment fund forms were created (e.g. the unregulated reserved alternative investment fund or RAIF), which allows the swift set-up of private equity regimes and other alternative funds to allow time for market investment activity. Specialised investment funds (“SIFs”) have also grown in number recently because the approval process for a SIF by the Luxembourg financial supervisory authority CSSF was accelerated.

**Marcus Peter**

GSK Stockmann
44, Avenue John F. Kennedy
L-1855
Luxembourg

Tel: +352 27 18 02 00
Email: marcus.peter@gsk-lux.com
URL: www.gsk-lux.com

Profession and Position

Lawyer, admitted to the Bar in Germany (2004) and Luxembourg (2005). Avocat à la Cour. Partner GSK Luxembourg SA.

Practice Areas

Investment Funds, Private Equity, Corporate, Real Estate.

Education and Professional Experience

Rostov-na-Donu State University and Saarland University. Worked with German and Swiss law firms in Moscow. Secondment at ABN AMRO Luxembourg. From 2004–2016, worked with a leading independent Luxembourg law firm (as a partner since 2012).

Languages

German, English, French, Russian.

Memberships

Luxembourg Private Equity Association (LPEA), Chinese-Luxembourgish Chamber of Commerce, DAV Luxembourg, EVER, CBBL (Cross Border Business Lawyers).

**Kate Yu Rao**

GSK Stockmann
44, Avenue John F. Kennedy
L-1855
Luxembourg

Tel: +352 27 18 02 00
Email: kate.rao@gsk-lux.com
URL: www.gsk-lux.com

Profession and Position

Passed Chinese Bar Exam (2013). Qualification of fund manager (accredited by Securities Association of China) (2011).

Practice Areas

Investment Funds, Private Equity, Corporate, M&A.

Education and Professional Experience

East China University of Political Science and Law. Erasmus University Rotterdam. Bologna University. From 2016–2018 before joining GSK Stockmann, worked at a leading independent Luxembourg law firm.

Languages

Chinese, English.

GSK STOCKMANN

GSK Stockmann is a leading, independent business law firm with international reach and offices in Berlin, Frankfurt am Main, Hamburg, Heidelberg, Munich and Luxembourg.

We advise international and domestic clients across a wide range of areas in relation to Corporate/M&A, Private Equity, Investment Funds, Tax, Capital Markets and Banking and Finance.

GSK Stockmann is the trusted advisor of leading financial institutions, asset managers, private equity houses, insurance companies, corporates and innovative FinTech and start-up companies, having both a local and global reach. GSK Stockmann thrives to provide the highest quality legal advice and responsiveness combined with a pragmatic approach to the transactions. Solution-driven, we tailor our services to the exact business needs of our clients. Teamwork is one of our core values, as is respect, solidarity and integrity. This combination ensures that we work efficiently for the benefit of our clients.

Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Financial Services Disputes
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Investor-State Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk